IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

BRAND MARKETING GROUP, LLC doing business as THERMABLASTER.

business as THERMABLASTER,	
Plaintiff,	12cv1572 ELECTRONICALLY FILED
v.	
INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES NA, INC doing business as INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES	•
Defendant.	
<u>Ver</u>	rdict Slip
I. Plaintiff's Claim/Cause of Action	
1. Did the Defendant, Intertek Testing Service to Plaintiff, Brand Marketing Group ("Brand"	es NA ("Intertek") negligently misrepresent itself)?
YES	NO
2. If you answered "yes" to Verdict Question Intertek to Brand, please state the total amoun duplicating the damages, as follows:	• • •
a. Compensatory Damages (to compento Verdict Question 1)	nsate Brand for harm caused by Intertek pursuant
(1) Past Damages - \$(2) Future Damages - \$	
b. Punitive Damages - \$	

II. <u>Defendant's Three Counterclaims/Causes of Action</u>

A. First Counterclaim/Cause of Action

3a. Did Brand infringe upon (Mark) of Intertek under the La	ne intellectual property trademark rights (ex. Intertek's ETL Listed nham Act?
YES	NO
3b. If you answered "yes," to	Verdict Question Number 3a, answer the following question:
Was the infringement willful	meaning deliberate, fraudulent, and/or malicious)?
If you answered "no" to Ques 3c, and proceed to Question N	ion Number 3a, please do not answer Question Numbers 3b and umber 4a.
YES	NO
Intertek on the trademark inframount of damages sustained 1. Compensatory Dan Verdict Question Num (a) Past Dama	Verdict Question Number 3a, regarding liability of Brand to negement claims under the Lanham Act, please state the total by Intertek, without duplicating the damages, as follows: ages (to compensate Intertek for harm caused by Brand under per 3a). ges - \$
B. Second Countercl	nim/Cause of Action
4a. Did Brand fraudulently m	srepresent itself to Intertek?
YES	NO
Intertek on the fraudulent mis	Verdict Question Number 4a, regarding liability of Brand to epresentation counterclaim, please state the amount of damages duplicating the damages as follows:
 Compensatory Dan Verdict Question Num 	ages (to compensate Intertek for harm caused by Brand under per 4a).
(a) Past Dama	res - \$

2. Punitive Damages	s - \$			
C. Third Countered	aim/Cause of A	ction		
5a. Did Brand fraudulently	conceal informat	ion from Intertek	?	
YES	 ;	NO		
5b. If you answered "yes" t Intertek for fraudulently con sustained by Intertek, withou	cealing informati	ion, please state t	he total amoun	•
1. Compensatory Dar Brand pursuant to Ve	•		harm caused t	ру
(a) Past Dam	ages - \$			
2. Punitive Damages	s - \$			
SO SAID BY ALL				
Dated:				
Signed by all jurors:				
	-			
	-			
	-			